

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MITCHELL JENKINS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
7 SUPERVISORS, et al.,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01925-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 15]

9 On May 21, 2025, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss plaintiff Mitchell
10 Jenkins' second amended complaint without prejudice. ECF No. 15. Jenkins did not object.
11 Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28
12 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions
13 of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v.*
14 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the
15 magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
16 otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 15) is accepted, plaintiff Mitchell Jenkins' second amended complaint (ECF No. 14) is
19 dismissed, and the clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 11th day of June, 2025.

21 
22 _____
23 ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE